arXiv:0903.1162v3 [math.NT] 3 May 2009

On the periodicity of some Farhi arithmetical functions *

Qing-Zhong Ji[1] and Chun-Gang Ji[2]

Abstract: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be any polynomial such that $f(x)$ and $f(x+1)f(x+2)\cdots f(x+k)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. We call

$$g_{k,f}(n) := \frac{|f(n)f(n+1)\cdots f(n+k)|}{\operatorname{lcm}(f(n), f(n+1), \cdots, f(n+k))}$$

a Farhi arithmetic function. In this paper, we prove that $g_{k,f}$ is periodic. This generalizes the previous results of Farhi and Kane, and Hong and Yang.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the field of rational numbers, the ring of rational integers and the set of nonnegative integers. Let $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. As usual, let v_p denote the normalized p-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e., $v_p(a) = b$ if $p^b || a$.

It is known that an equivalent variation of the Prime Number Theorem states that $\log \operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \cdots, n) \sim n$ as n tends to infinity (see e.g., [5]). One thus expects that a better understanding of the function $\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \cdots, n)$ may entail a deeper understanding of the distribution of the prime numbers. Some progress has been made towards this direction. Before we state our main theorems, let us first give a short account on the recent results in this subject.

In his pioneered paper [2], Farhi introduced the following arithmetic functions

$$g_k(n) := \frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n+k)}{\operatorname{lcm}(n, n+1, \cdots, n+k)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Farhi proved that the sequence $(g_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the recursive relation:

$$g_k(n) = \gcd(k!, (n+k)g_{k-1}(n)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{1}$$

Using this relation, Farhi proved

---

*The first author is partially supported by the Grant No. 10571080 and 10871088 from NNSF of China. The second author is partially supported by the Grant No. 10171046 from NNSF of China and Jiangsu planned projects for postdoctoral research funds.

Theorem 1.1. ([2]) *The function* g_k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ *is periodic and* $k!$ *is a period of* g_k.

An interesting question is how to determine the least period of g_k (see [2]). In [6], by using (1) and $g_k(1)|g_k(n)$ for any positive integer n, Hong and Yang gave a partial answer to this question. A complete solution to the question was given by Farhi and Kane in [3]. They proved

Theorem 1.2. ([3], Theorem 3.2) *The least period* T_k *of* g_k *is given by*

$$T_k = \prod_{p\ prime,\ p\le k} p^{\delta_p(k)}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\delta_p(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v_p(k+1) \ge \max\limits_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(i)\}, \\ \max\limits_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(i)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $g(n)$ be an arithmetic function defined on the set $\mathbb{Z}\backslash A$, where A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. If there exists an integer T such that $g(n) = g(n+T)$ for all $n,\ n+T \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash A$, then it is clear that the arithmetic function $g(n)$ can be extended a periodic function defined on all the integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

Throughout this paper, let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial such that

$$\gcd(f(x), f(x+1)f(x+2)\cdots f(x+k)) = 1$$

in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Let k be a nonnegative integer. Denoted by

$$Z_{k,f} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f(n+i) = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \le i \le k\}. \tag{3}$$

Then $Z_{k,f}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$g_{k,f}(n) = \frac{|f(n)f(n+1)\cdots f(n+k)|}{\mathrm{lcm}(f(n), f(n+1), \cdots, f(n+k))}, \tag{4}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash Z_{k,f}$. We call $g_{k,f}(n)$ a Farhi arithmetic function. In §3, we will prove

Theorem 1.3. *Let* k *be a nonnegative integer and* $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ *be a polynomial such that* $\gcd(f(x), f(x+1)f(x+2)\cdots f(x+k)) = 1$ *in* $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. *Then the arithmetic function* $g_{k,f}$ *can be extended to a periodic arithmetic function defined on all the integers.*

By assumption of $f(x)$ in Theorem 1.3, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, there exist polynomials $a_i(x), b_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and the smallest positive integer C_i such that

$$a_i(x)f(x) + b_i(x)f(x+i) = C_i.$$

Let C be the least common multiple of the C_i's, i.e.,

$$C = \operatorname{lcm}(C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_k).$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will prove

Theorem 1.4. *Let $T_{k,f}$ denote the least period of $g_{k,f}$. Then $T_{k,f}|C$.*

Let p be a prime. Define the arithmetic function $h_{k,f,p}$ by

$$h_{k,f,p}(n) := v_p(g_{k,f}(n)). \tag{5}$$

If $p \nmid C$, using the definition of $g_{k,f}$, then we have $h_{k,f,p}(n) = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $p|C$, then $h_{k,f,p}$ is a periodic function by theorem 1.3. Set

$$S_n := \{n, n+1, \cdots, n+k\},\ n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6}$$

and

$$e_p := \max\{v_p(\gcd(|f(n)|, |f(n+i)|)) \mid 1 \leq n \leq p^{v_p(C)}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}. \tag{7}$$

In §4, we will prove

Theorem 1.5. *For any prime p, let $T_{k,f,p}$ be the least period of the arithmetic function $h_{k,f,p}$. Then*

(i) *p^{e_p} is a period of $h_{k,f,p}$ and $T_{k,f,p}|p^{e_p}$.*

(ii) *$T_{k,f,p} = 1$ if and only if for any $1 \leq n \leq p^{e_p}$, we have*

$$v_p(\gcd(|f(n)|, |f(n+k+1)|)) \geq \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}$$

or

$$v_p(f(n)) = v_p(f(n+k+1)) < \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}.$$

(iii) *Let $1 \leq e \leq e_p$. Suppose that p^e is a period of $h_{k,f,p}$. Then $T_{k,f,p} = p^e$ if and only if there exists an integer $n_0 :\ 1 \leq n_0 \leq p^e$ such that the following inequality holds:*

$$\sum_{t=e}^{e_p} \max\{0, \#\{m \in S_{n_0} \mid p^t | f(m)\} - 1\}$$
$$\neq \sum_{t=e}^{e_p} \max\{0, \#\{m \in S_{n_0} \mid p^t | f(m+p^{e-1})\} - 1\}.$$

In particular, $T_{k,f,p} = p^{e_p}$ *if and only if there exists an integer* $n_0 : \ 1 \leq n_0 \leq p^{e_p}$ *such that the following inequality holds*:

$$\#\{m \in S_{n_0} \mid p^{e_p} | f(m)\} \neq \#\{m \in S_{n_0} \mid p^{e_p} | f(m + p^{e_p - 1})\}.$$

Remark: Let $T_{k,f,p}$ be the least period of $h_{k,f,p}$ for any prime p. Then

$$T_{k,f} = \prod_p T_{k,f,p}$$

(This infinite product is meaningful, for almost all its terms are equal to 1).

As an application of Theorem 1.5, in §5 we will give a new different proof of Theorem 3.2 of [3].

Corollary 1.6. *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f(x) = x$*. Then the least period* $T_{k,f}$ *of the* Farhi *arithmetic function* $g_{k,f}$ *is given by the formula* (9).

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be any integer such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $a > 0$. Let $f(x) = ax + b$. By Theorem 1.3, we know that the Farhi arithmetic function

$$g_{k,ax+b}(n) = \frac{|(an + b)(a(n + 1) + b) \cdots (a(n + k) + b)|}{\mathrm{lcm}(an + b, a(n + 1) + b, \cdots, a(n + k) + b)}$$

can be extended to a periodic arithmetic function defined on all the integers. Now we define the arithmetical function $g_{k,a}$ by

$$g_{k,a}(n) = \frac{|n(n + a) \cdots (n + ka)|}{\mathrm{lcm}(n, n + a, \cdots, n + ka)}.$$

When $a = 1$, the arithmetical function $g_{k,1}$ is the arithmetical function g_k defined by Farhi. It is clear that

$$g_{k,ax+b}(n) = g_{k,a}(na + b). \tag{8}$$

Hence the function $g_{k,a}$ also can be extended to a periodic arithmetic function defined on all the integers. In §6, we shall prove the following results:

Theorem 1.7. *Let* a, k *be any two positive integers. Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) *The positive integer* $a \cdot lcm(1, 2, \cdots, k)$ *is a period of* $g_{k,a}$.

(ii) *A positive integer* S *is a period of* $g_{k,a}$ *if and only if* $S = aT$, *where* T *is a period of* g_k;

(iii) *Consequently, the least period* $T_k(a)$ *of* $g_{k,a}$ *is* $aT_k(1) = aT_k$, *where* $T_k(1) = T_k$ *is the least period of* g_k.

By (8) and Theorem 1.7, we have the following result:

Corollary 1.8. *Let a, k be any two positive integers and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be any integer such that* $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. *Then the least period $T_{k,ax+b}$ of the Farhi arithmetic function $g_{k,ax+b}$ is given by the following formula:*

$$T_{k,ax+b} = \prod_{p\ prime,\ p \le k} p^{\delta_p(k)}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\delta_p(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v_p(k+1) \ge \max\limits_{1 \le i \le k}\{v_p(i)\} \text{ or } p|a, \\ \max\limits_{1 \le i \le k}\{v_p(i)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In §7, we will give some examples.

2. **Two Basic Lemmas**

Lemma 2.1. *Let $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$ and $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n$ be any $2n$ positive integers. If* $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = \gcd(b_i, b_j)$ *for any $1 \le i < j \le n$, then*

$$\frac{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}{\mathrm{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)} = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}{\mathrm{lcm}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let p be any prime. It suffices to show that the following equality holds:

$$v_p\left(\frac{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}{\mathrm{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)}\right) = v_p\left(\frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}{\mathrm{lcm}(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)}\right). \tag{11}$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_p(a_i) - \max_{1 \le i \le n}\{v_p(a_i)\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_p(b_i) - \max_{1 \le i \le n}\{v_p(b_i)\}. \tag{12}$$

By the assumption of a_i's and b_i's, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_p(a_i) - \max_{1 \le i \le n}\{v_p(a_i)\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_p(b_i) - \max_{1 \le i \le n}\{v_p(b_i)\}. \tag{13}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_p(a_1) \le v_p(a_2) \le \cdots \le v_p(a_{n-1}) \le v_p(a_n)$. Then for any $1 \le i \le n-1$, we have

$$v_p(a_i) = v_p(\gcd(a_i, a_n)) = v_p(\gcd(b_i, b_n)) \le \min\{v_p(b_i), v_p(b_n)\}.$$

Hence for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $v_p(a_i) \leq v_p(b_i)$ and $v_p(a_i) \leq v_p(b_n)$. Let $v_p(b_k) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n}\{v_p(b_i)\}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_p(a_i) \leq v_p(b_1) + \cdots + v_p(b_{k-1}) + v_p(b_n) + v_p(b_{k+1}) + \cdots + v_p(b_{n-1}).$$

So (13) is true. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let k be a positive integer and $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be any polynomial such that*

$$\gcd(f(x), f(x+1)f(x+2)\cdots f(x+k)) = 1$$

in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $d_i(n) = \gcd(|f(n)|, |f(n+i)|)$ is periodic for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. By assumption, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, $f(x)$ and $f(x+i)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, hence there exist $a_i(x), b_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and the smallest positive integer C_i such that

$$a_i(x)f(x) + b_i(x)f(x+i) = C_i. \tag{14}$$

Hence for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$a_i(m)f(m) + b_i(m)f(m+i) = C_i. \tag{15}$$

In the following, we will prove

$$d_i(n) = d_i(n + C_i), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{16}$$

Let $d_i = d_i(n)$ and $d_i' = d_i(n+C_i)$. Then $d_i | f(n)$ and $d_i | f(n+i)$. By (15), we have $d_i | C_i$. Hence $d_i | f(n+C_i)$ and $d_i | f(n+i+C_i)$. Therefore $d_i | d_i'$. Similarly, we have $d_i' | d_i$. Hence $d_i = d_i'$, i.e., (16) is true. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

3. **The Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4**

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the definition (3), $Z_{k,f}$ is a finite set and $g_{k,f}$ is well defined on the set $\mathbb{Z} \setminus Z_{k,f}$. First we prove that $g_{k,f}$ is periodic on the set $\mathbb{Z} \setminus Z_{k,f}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, by Lemma 2.2, $d_i(n) = \gcd(|f(n)|, |f(n+i)|)$ is periodic. Let T_i be the least period of d_i. Then

$$d_i(n) = d_i(n + T_i), \qquad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence by the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have that $T_i|C_i$, where C_i is defined by (14). Denote by T (resp. C) the least common multiple of the T_i's (resp. C_i's), $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Then $T|C$ and for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$d_i(n) = d_i(n+T) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence for any $0 \leq i < j \leq k$, we have

$$d_{j-i}(n+i) = d_{j-i}(n+i+T) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

that is

$$\gcd(|f(n+i)|, |f(n+j)|) = \gcd(|f(n+i+T)|, |f(n+j+T)|).$$

So by Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $g_{k,f}$, we obtain that $g_{k,f}(n) = g_{k,f}(n+T)$ for any n and $n+T \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash Z_{k,f}$. Hence $g_{k,f}(n)$ is periodic and T is a period of $g_{k,f}$.

If $n \in Z_{k,f}$, then there exist a positive integer a such that $n + aT \notin Z_{k,f}$. Hence the function $g_{k,f}$ can be extended to $g_{k,f} : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined at $n \in Z_{k,f}$, by

$$g_{k,f}(n) = g_{k,f}(n+aT).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It is obvious that the property $T|C$ implies that C is a multiple of the least period $T_{k,f}$ of $g_{k,f}$. this completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

4. **The Proof of Theorem 1.5**

Notations as previous sections.

Proof. (i) By the definitions of $h_{k,f,p}$ and $g_{k,f}$, it suffices to show that $v_p(g_{k,f}(n)) = v_p(g_{k,f}(n+p^{e_p}))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus Z_{k,f}$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} v_p(f(n+i)) - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} v_p(f(n+i+p^{e_p})) - \max_{0 \leq i \leq k}\{v_p(f(n+i+p^{e_p}))\}.$$

Let

$$e_{ij} = v_p(\gcd(|f(n+i)|, |f(n+j)|))$$

and

$$e'_{ij} = v_p(\gcd(|f(n+i+p^{e_p})|, |f(n+j+p^{e_p})|))$$

for any $0 \le i < j \le k$. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$e_{ij} = e'_{ij}. \tag{17}$$

By the assumption of $f(x)$, we have

$$a_{j-i}(m)f(m) + b_{j-i}(m)f(m+j-i) = C_{j-i}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $m = n+i$. We have $p^{e_{ij}}|f(n+i)$ and $p^{e_{ij}}|f(n+j)$, so $p^{e_{ij}}|C_{j-i}$. Hence $e_{ij} \le e_p$ by the definition of e_p. So $p^{e_{ij}}|f(n+i+p^{e_p})$, $p^{e_{ij}}|f(n+j+p^{e_p})$. Therefore $e_{ij} \le e'_{ij}$. Similarly, we have $e'_{ij} \le e_{ij}$. Hence (17) is true. It is easy to see that $T_{k,f,p}|p^{e_p}$.

(ii) By (i) of Theorem 1.5, we know that $h_{k,f,p}$ is periodic and p^{e_p} is a period. So $T_{k,f,p} = 1$ if and only if $h_{k,f,p}(n) = h_{k,f,p}(n+1)$ for any $1 \le n \le p^{e_p}$. By the definition of $g_{k,f}$, we have $T_{k,f,p} = 1$ if and only if for any $1 \le n \le p^{e_p}$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} v_p(f(n+i)) - \max_{0\le i\le k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} v_p(f(n+i)) - \max_{1\le i\le k+1}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}.$$

Hence $T_{k,f,p} = 1$ if and only if for any $1 \le n \le p^{e_p}$,

$$v_p(\gcd(|f(n)|, |f(n+k+1)|)) \ge \max_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}$$

or

$$v_p(f(n)) = v_p(f(n+k+1)) < \max_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(f(n+i))\}.$$

(iii) Let $1 \le e \le e_p$. Suppose that p^e is a period of $h_{k,f,p}$. Hence p^e is the least period of $h_{k,f,p}$ if and only if p^{e-1} is not a period $h_{k,f,p}$. Therefore p^e is the least period of $h_{k,f,p}$ if and only if there exists an integer $n_0 :\ 1 \le n_0 \le p^e$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$h_{k,f,p}(n_0) \ne h_{k,f,p}(n_0 + p^{e-1}).$$

By definition (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{k,f,p}(n_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} v_p(f(n_0+i)) - \max_{0\le i\le k}\{v_p(f(n_0+i))\} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \max\{0,\ \#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^t|f(m)\}-1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$h_{k,f,p}(n_0+p^{e-1}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \max\{0,\ \#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^t|f(m+p^{e-1})\}-1\}. \tag{19}$$

Remark: This infinite sum is meaningful, for almost all its terms are equal to 0, and $\#\emptyset = 0$. On the other hand, when $t \le e-1$, we know that $p^t|f(m)$ if and only if $p^t|f(m+p^{e-1})$. Hence by the definition of e_p, the inequality $h_{k,f,p}(n_0) \ne h_{k,f,p}(n_0+p^{e-1})$ holds if and only if the inequality

$$\sum_{t=e}^{e_p} \max\{0, \#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^t|f(m)\}-1\}$$

$$\ne \sum_{t=e}^{e_p} \max\{0, \#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^t|f(m+p^{e-1})\}-1\}$$

holds. In particular, $T_{k,f,p} = p^{e_p}$ if and only if there exists an integer $n_0 :\ 1 \le n_0 \le p^{e_p}$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$\#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^{e_p}|f(m)\} \ne \#\{m\in S_{n_0} \mid p^{e_p}|f(m+p^{e_p-1})\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

5. The proof of Corollary 1.6

Proof. When $k=0$, then $g_{0,f}=1$. Let $k\ge 1$. For $1\le i\le k$, we have $C_i = i$ and $C = \mathrm{lcm}(1,2,\cdots,k)$. Hence we obtain

$$T_{k,f} = \prod_{p\ prime, p\le k} T_{k,f,p}.$$

Let $p\le k$ be a prime, it suffices to prove the following statements:

(I) $T_{k,f,p} = 1$ if $v_p(k+1) \ge \max_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(i)\}$.

(II) $T_{k,f,p} = p^{v_p(C)}$ if $v_p(k+1) < \max_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(i)\}$.

We first prove (I). As $e_p = v_p(C) = \max_{1\le i\le k}\{v_p(i)\}$, by assumption $v_p(k+1) \ge e_p$, we have $v_p(k+1) = e_p$ or e_p+1.

Case (a), $1 \leq n \leq p^{e_p}-1$, then $e = v_p(n) < e_p$. Hence $v_p(n) = v_p(n+k+1)$ and $n = p^e n_1$, $p \nmid n_1$. Set $i = p^e i_0$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq p-1$ such that $p|(n_1+i_0)$. Then $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $v_p(n+i) > v_p(n)$. Hence

$$v_p(n) = v_p(n+k+1) < \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(n+i)\}. \tag{20}$$

Case (b1), $n = p^{e_p}$ and $v_p(k+1) = e_p+1$. We have $k+1 = p^{e_p+1}$. Let $i = p^{e_p}(p-1)$. Then $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $v_p(n+i) = e_p+1 > e_p$. Hence

$$v_p(n) = v_p(n+k+1) < \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(n+i)\}. \tag{21}$$

Case (b2), $n = p^{e_p}$ and $v_p(k+1) = e_p$. We have $k+1 = p^{e_p}u$, where $2 \leq u \leq p-1$. Hence $k = up^{e_p}-1$. If $i > 0$ and $v_p(n+i) > e_p$, then $i \geq p^{e_p}(p-1) > k$. Hence $\max\limits_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(n+i)\} \leq e_p$. Therefore

$$v_p(\gcd(|n|, |n+k+1|)) \geq \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(n+i)\}. \tag{22}$$

By (20), (21), (22) and using (ii) of Theorem 1.5, we have $T_{k,f,p} = 1$.

(II) Note that $e_p = v_p(C)$. Hence

$$k = a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_{e_p} p^{e_p}, \;\; 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1, \;\; i = 0, 1, \cdots, e_p, \;\; a_{e_p} \neq 0.$$

It is easy to show that the inequality $v_p(k+1) \geq e_p$ holds if and only if $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{e_p-1} = p-1$.

Assume that the inequality $v_p(k+1) < e_p = v_p(C) = \max\limits_{1\leq i\leq k}\{v_p(i)\}$ holds. Then there exists an integer $r: \;\; 0 \leq r \leq e_p-1$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$0 \leq a_r \leq p-2 \text{ and } a_{r+1} = \cdots = a_{e_p-1} = p-1.$$

Set

$$n_0 = \begin{cases} p^{e_p}, & \text{if } r = e_p-1; \\ (p-1-a_r)p^r, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq e_p-2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\#\{m \in S_{n_0} \;\mid\; p^{e_p}|m\} = \begin{cases} a_{e_p}+1, & \text{if } r = e_p-1, \\ a_{e_p}, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq e_p-2; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\#\{m \in S_{n_0} \;\mid\; p^{e_p}|(m+p^{e_p-1})\} = \begin{cases} a_{e_p}, & \text{if } r = e_p-1, \\ a_{e_p}+1, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq e_p-2. \end{cases}$$

By the (iii) of Theorem 1.5, we know that $p^{e_p} = p^{v_p(C)}$ is the least period of $h_{k,f,p}$. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.6. $\square$

6. **The proof of Theorem 1.7**

Proof. (i) Set $S = a \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(1, 2, \cdots, k)$. Let n be any positive integer. For any $0 \le i < j \le k$, it is clear that $\gcd(n+ia, n+ja) = \gcd(n+S+ia, n+S+ja)$. Hence $g_{k,a}(n+S) = g_{k,a}(n)$ follows from Lemma 2.1.

(ii) Suppose S is a period of $g_{k,a}$. Then $g_{k,a}(n) = g_{k,a}(n+S)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In particular, we have $g_{k,a}(na) = g_{k,a}(na+S)$. Since

$$g_{k,a}(na) = \frac{na \cdot (na+a) \cdot \cdots \cdot (na+ka)}{\mathrm{lcm}(na, na+a, \cdots, na+ka)} = \frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n+k)}{\mathrm{lcm}(n, n+1, \cdots, n+k)} \cdot a^k$$

and

$$g_{k,a}(na+S) = \frac{(na+S)(na+a+S)\cdots(na+ka+S)}{\mathrm{lcm}(na+S, na+a+S, \cdots, na+ka+S)},$$

we have

$$g_k(n) \cdot a^k = g_{k,a}(na) = g_{k,a}(na+S) \tag{23}$$

and

$$g_k(n) \cdot a^k = \frac{(na+S)(na+a+S)\cdots(na+ka+S)}{\mathrm{lcm}(na+S, na+a+S, \cdots, na+ka+S)}. \tag{24}$$

We claim that $a|S$. Let $\gcd(a, S) = d$, $a = a_1 d$, $S = S_1 d$. Then $\gcd(a_1, S_1) = 1$. By using (24), we have

$$a_1^k \,|\, (na_1 + S_1)(na_1 + a_1 + S_1) \cdots (na_1 + ka_1 + S_1).$$

Because $\gcd(a_1, na_1 + ia_1 + S_1) = 1$ for any $0 \le i \le k$, we have $a_1 = 1$. Hence $a|S$. Let $S = aT$. Then using (23), we have

$$g_k(n) \cdot a^k = g_{k,a}(na) = g_{k,a}(na + aT) = g_k(n+T) \cdot a^k.$$

Hence $g_k(n+T) = g_k(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, i.e., T is a period of $g_k(n)$.

Conversely, suppose T is a period of $g_k(n)$. Let n be any positive integer. If $d = \gcd(n, a)$, $n = n_1 d$, $a = a_1 d$, then $\gcd(n_1, a_1) = 1$ and

$$g_{k,a}(n) = g_{k,a_1}(n_1) \cdot d^k, \quad g_{k,a}(n + aT) = g_{k,a_1}(n_1 + a_1 T) \cdot d^k.$$

Hence, without loss of generality, we assume that $(n, a) = 1$. Therefore

$$\gcd(a, g_{k,a}(n)) = 1, \quad \gcd(a, g_{k,a}(n + aT)) = 1. \tag{25}$$

Hence by using (25), we have

$$g_{k,a}(n) = g_{k,a}(n + aT)$$

if and only if

$$v_p(g_{k,a}(n)) = v_p(g_{k,a}(n + aT)), \tag{26}$$

for any prime $p \nmid a$.

Let p be any prime such that $p \nmid a$ and N be a positive integer greater than $v_p(k!)$. Then there exists a unique positive integer m such that $1 \le m < p^N$ and

$$ma \equiv 1(\bmod p^N). \tag{27}$$

Let p, n, a, m as above and $0 \le i < j \le k$. then for any integer l, there are

$$v_p(\gcd(n + al + ai, (j - i)a)) = v_p(\gcd(mn + l + i, j - i)). \tag{28}$$

Let

$$\gcd(n + al + ai, (j - i)a) = p^{x_{ij}} w, \quad p \nmid w \tag{29}$$

and

$$\gcd(mn + l + i, j - i) = p^{y_{ij}} u, \quad p \nmid u. \tag{30}$$

Then by (29), there exists s_1, $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(n+al+ai)s_1+(j-i)at_1 = p^{x_{ij}}w$. Multiplied by m on both sides, we have $(mn + aml + ami)s_1 + (j - i)mat_1 = p^{x_{ij}}mw$. Using (27), we have $(mn + l + i)s_1 + (j - i)t_1 = p^{x_{ij}}mw - p^N\delta$. By (30), we have $y_{ij} \le x_{ij}$. Conversely, by (30), there exists s_2, $t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(mn + l + i)s_2 + (j - i)t_2 = p^{y_{ij}}u$. Multiplied by a on both sides, we have $(mna + al + ai)s_2 + (j - i)at_2 = p^{y_{ij}}au$. Similarly, we have $x_{ij} \le y_{ij}$. So $x_{ij} = y_{ij}$ and (28) is true. Let $l = 0$ and T. By using (28) we have

$$v_p(\gcd(n + ai, n + aj)) = v_p(\gcd(mn + i, mn + j))$$

and

$$v_p(\gcd(n + aT + ai, n + aT + aj)) = v_p(\gcd(mn + T + i, mn + T + j))$$

for any $0 \le i < j \le k$. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$v_p(g_{k,a}(n)) = v_p(g_k(mn)), \quad v_p(g_{k,a}(n + aT)) = v_p(g_k(mn + T)).$$

So using $g_k(mn) = g_k(mn+T)$, we have $v_p(g_{k,a}(n+aT)) = v_p(g_{k,a}(n))$ for any prime p such that $p \nmid a$. Hence $g_{k,a}(n+aT) = g_{k,a}(n)$ and aT is a period of $g_{k,a}(n)$.

The proof of (iii) follows from (ii). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

□

Proof of Corollary 1.8 (i) Assume that $p|a$. Then it is clear that the equality $v_p(g_{k,ax+b}(n)) = 0$ holds for any integer n when $g_{k,ax+b}(n)$ is well defined.

(ii) Assume that p is not a prime factor of a. By the formula (8), we have that T_p is a period of $v_p(g_{k,ax+b}(n))$ if and only if aT_p is a period of $v_p(g_{k,a}(n))$. Hence, by Theorem 1.7, we have that T_p is a period of $v_p(g_{k,ax+b}(n))$ if and only if T_p is a period of $v_p(g_k(n))$. Therefore Corollary 1.8 is obtained by Theorem 1.2.

7. **Examples**

Lemma 7.1. *Let* $f_1(x) = f_2(x)^r$, *where* $r \geq 1$ *is an integer. Then* $T_{k,f_1} = T_{k,f_2}$.

Proof. By (4), we have $g_{k,f_1}(n) = g_{k,f_2}(n)^r$. Hence the result is obvious. □

Example 1. Let $f(x) = x^r$, $r \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 7.1, we have $T_{k,x^r} = T_{k,x}$, where $T_{k,x}$ is given by the formula (9).

Example 2. Let $f(x) = x^2 + b$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$(2x+3i)(x^2+b) + (-2x+i)((x+i)^2+b) = i(i^2+4b), \text{ if } i \text{ is odd.}$$

$$(x+3j)(x^2+b) + (-x+j)((x+2j)^2+b) = 4j(j^2+b), \text{ if } i = 2j.$$

Hence

$$C_i = \begin{cases} i(i^2+4b), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 4j(j^2+b), & \text{if } i = 2j. \end{cases}$$

Hence, given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Theorem 1.5, we can determine the least period $T_{k,f}$ of the arithmetic function $g_{k,f}$. For $1 \leq k \leq 6$ and $1 \leq b \leq 6$, Table I gives the $T_{k,f}$'s.

TABLE I

The least period $T_{k,f}$ of $g_{k,f}$ with $f(x) = x^2 + b$

k $f(x)$	1	2	3	4	5	6
x^2+1	5	$2\cdot 5$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13\cdot 29$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13\cdot 29$
x^2+2	3^2	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 17$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 17$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 11\cdot 17$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 11\cdot 17$
x^2+3	13	$2\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 37$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 37$
x^2+4	17	$2\cdot 5\cdot 17$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 17$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 17$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 17\cdot 41$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 41$
x^2+5	$3\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 29$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 7\cdot 29$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 29$	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 29$
x^2+6	5^2	$2\cdot 5^2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 11$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 11$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7^2\cdot 11$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7^2\cdot 11$

Example 3. Let $f(x) = x^3 + b$. For $1 \le i \le k$, we have

$$a_i(x)(x^3 + b) + b_i(x)((x+i)^3 + b) = C_i$$

where

$$a_i(x) = \begin{cases} 6i^2x^2 + (15i^3 - 9)x + 10i^4 - 18i, & \text{if } 3 \nmid i \\ 6j^2x^2 + (45j^3 - 1)x + 90i^4 - 6i, & \text{if } i = 3j. \end{cases}$$

$$b_i(x) = \begin{cases} -6i^2x^2 + (3i^3 + 9)x - i^4 - 9i, & \text{if } 3 \nmid i \\ -6j^2x^2 + (9j^3 + 1)x - 9j^4 - 3j, & \text{if } i = 3j. \end{cases}$$

$$C_i = \begin{cases} -i^7 - 27i, & \text{if } 3 \nmid i \\ -3^5j^7 - 9j, & \text{if } i = 3j. \end{cases}$$

Hence, given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Theorem 1.5, we can determine the least period $T_{k,f}$ of the arithmetic function $g_{k,f}$. For $1 \le k \le 6$ and $1 \le b \le 6$, Table II gives the $T_{k,f}$'s.

TABLE II

The least period $T_{k,f}$ of $g_{k,f}$ with $f(x) = x^3 + b$

k $f(x)$	1	2	3	4	5	6
x^3+1	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$
x^3+2	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$
x^3+3	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$
x^3+4	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$
x^3+5	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$
x^3+6	$2\cdot 7$	$2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 31\cdot 43$	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 19\cdot 31\cdot 43$

REFERENCES

[1] B. Farhi, Minorations non triviales du plus petit commun multiple de certaines suites finies d'entiers *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.* I, 341(2005), p. 469-474

[2] B. Farhi, Nontrivial lower bounds for the least common multiple of some finite sequences of integers, *J. Number Theory*, 125(2007), p. 393-411.

[3] B. Farhi and D. Kane, New results on the least common multiple of consective integers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[4] B.Green and T.Tao, The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progression, Ann. of Math. (2) 167(2008), P. 481-548.

[5] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, Theory of Numbers, fifth ed. Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1979.

[6] S. Hong and Y. Yang, On the periodicity of an arithmetical function, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér.* I 346(2008), p. 717-721.

[1]Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China

e-mail: qingzhji@nju.edu.cn

[2]Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, P. R. China

e-mail: cgji@njnu.edu.cn